Every direction in which psychoanalytic research has pointed seems in its turn to have attracted the interest of Ernest Jones, and now that of recent years investigation has slowly spread to the development of the sexual life of women, we find as a matter of course one by him among the most important contributions to the subject. As always, he throws great light on his material, with his peculiar gift of both clarifying the knowledge we had already and also adding to it fresh observations of his own.

In his paper on “The early development of female sexuality,” he sketches out a rough scheme of types of female development which he first divides into heterosexual and homosexual, subsequently subdividing the latter homosexual group into two types. He acknowledges the roughly schematic nature of his classification and postulates a number of intermediate types. It is with one of these intermediate types that I am today concerned. In daily life types of men and women are constantly met with who, while mainly heterosexual in their development, plainly display strong features of the other sex. This has been judged to be an expression of the bisexually inherent in us all; and analysis has shown that what appears as homosexual or heterosexual character-traits, or sexual manifestations, is the end-result of the interplay of conflicts and not necessarily evidence of a radical or fundamental tendency. The difference between homosexual and heterosexual development results from differences in the degree of anxiety, with the corresponding effect this has on development. Ferenczi pointed out a similar reaction in behavior, namely, that homosexual men exaggerate their heterosexuality as a “defence” against their homosexuality. I shall attempt to show that women who wish for masculinity may put on a mask of womanliness to avert anxiety and the retribution feared from men.

It is with a particular type of intellectual woman that I have to deal. Not long ago intellectual pursuits for women were associated almost exclusively with an overtly masculine type of woman, who in pronounced cases made no secret of her wish or claim to be a man. This has now changed. Of all the women engaged in professional work today, it would be hard to say whether the greater number are more feminine than masculine in their mode of life and character. In university life, in scientific professions and in business, one constantly meets women who seem to fulfill every criterion of complete feminine development. They are excellent wives and mothers, capable housewives; they maintain social life and assist culture; they have no lack of feminine interests, e.g. in their personal appearance, and when called upon they can still find time to play the part of devoted and disinterested mother-substitutes among a wide circle of relatives and friends. At the same time they fulfill the duties of their profession at least as well as the average man. It is really a puzzle to know how to classify this type psychologically.

Some time ago, in the course of an analysis of a woman of this kind, I came upon some interesting discoveries. She conformed in almost every particular to the description just given; her excellent relations with her husband included a very intimate affectionate attachment between them and full and frequent sexual enjoyment; she prided herself on her proficiency as a housewife. She had followed her profession with marked success all her life. She had a high degree of adaptation to reality and managed to sustain good and appropriate relations with almost everyone with whom she came in contact.

Certain reactions in her life showed, however, that her stability was not as flawless at it appeared; one of these will illustrate my theme. She was an American woman engaged in work
of a propagandist nature, which consisted principally in speaking and writing. All her life a
certain degree of anxiety, sometimes very severe, was experienced after every public
performance, such as speaking to an audience. In spite of her unquestionable success and ability,
both intellectual and practical, and her capacity for managing an audience and dealing with
discussions, etc., she would be excited and apprehensive all night after, with misgivings whether
she had done anything inappropriate, and obsessed by a need for reassurance This need for
reassurance led her compulsively on any such occasion to seek some attention or complimentary
notice from a man or men at the close of the proceedings in which she had taken part or been the
principal figure; and it soon became evident that the men chosen for the purpose were always
unmistakable father-figures, although often not persons whose judgment on her performance
would in reality carry much weight. There were clearly two types of reassurance sought from
these father-figures: first, direct reassurance of the nature of compliments about her performance;
secondly, and more important, indirect reassurance of the nature of sexual attentions from these
men. To speak broadly, analysis of her behavior after her performance showed that she was
attempting to obtain sexual advances from the particular type of men by means of flirting and
coquetting with them in a more or less veiled manner. The extraordinary incongruity of this
attitude with her highly impersonal and objective attitude during her intellectual performance,
which it succeeded so rapidly in time, was a problem.

Analysis showed that the Oedipus situation of rivalry with the mother was extremely
acute and had never been satisfactorily solved. I shall come back to this later. But beside the
conflict in regard to the mother, the rivalry with the father was also very great. Her intellectual
work, which took the form of speaking and writing, was based on an evident identification with
her father, who had first been a literary man and later had taken to political life; her adolescence
had been characterized by conscious revolt against him, with rivalry and contempt of him.
Dreams and fantasies of this nature, castrating the husband, were frequently uncovered by
analysis. She had quite conscious feelings of rivalry and claims to superiority over many of the
“father-figures” whose favor she would then woo after her own performances! She bitterly
resented any assumption that she was not equal to them, and (in private) would reject the idea of
being subject to their judgment or criticism. In this she corresponded clearly to one type Ernest
Jones has sketched: his first group of homosexual women who, while taking no interest in the
other women, wish for “recognition” of their masculinity from men and claim to be the equals of
men, or in other words, to be men themselves. Her resentment, however, was not openly
expressed; publicly she acknowledged her condition of womanhood.

Analysis then revealed that the explanation of her compulsive ogling and coquetting —
which actually she was herself hardly aware of till analysis made it manifest— was as follows: it
was an unconscious attempt to ward off the anxiety which would ensue on account of the
reprisals she anticipated from the father-figures after her intellectual performance. The exhibition
in public of her intellectual proficiency, which was in itself carried through successfully,
signified an exhibition of herself in possession of the father's penis, having castrated him. The
display once over, she was seized by horrible dread of the retribution the father would then exact.
Obviously it was a step towards propitiating the avenger to endeavor to offer herself to him
sexually. […] the aim of the compulsion was not merely to secure reassurance by evoking
friendly feelings towards her in the man; it was chiefly to make sure of safety by masquerading
as guiltless and innocent. It was a compulsive reversal of her intellectual performance; and the
two together formed the “double-action” of an obsessive act, just as her life as a whole consisted
alternately of masculine and feminine activities.
[...S]he had had dreams of people putting masks on their faces in order to avert disaster. One of these dreams was of a high tower on a hill being pushed over and falling down on the inhabitants of a village below, but the people put on masks and escaped injury!

Womanliness therefore could be assumed and worn as a mask, both to hide the possession of masculinity and to avert the reprisals expected if she was found to possess it — much as a thief will turn out his pockets and ask to be searched to prove that he has not the stolen goods. The reader may now ask how I define womanliness or where I draw the line between genuine womanliness and the 'masquerade'. My suggestion is not, however, that there is any such difference; whether radical or superficial. They are the same thing. The capacity for womanliness was there in this woman — and one might even say it exists in the most completely homosexual woman — but owing to her conflicts it did not represent her main development and was used far more as a device for avoiding anxiety than as a primary mode of sexual enjoyment.

I will give some brief particulars to illustrate this. She had married late, at 29; she had had great anxiety about defloration and had had the hymen stretched or slit before the wedding by a woman doctor. Her attitude to sexual intercourse before marriage was a set determination to obtain and experience the enjoyment and pleasure which she knew some women have in it, and the orgasm. She was afraid of impotence in exactly the same way as a man. This was partly a determination to surpass certain mother-figures who were frigid, but on deeper levels it was a determination not to be beaten by the man. In effect, sexual enjoyment was full and frequent, with complete orgasm; but the fact emerged that the gratification it brought was of the nature of a reassurance and restitution of something lost, and not ultimately pure enjoyment. The man's love gave her back her self-esteem. During analysis, while the hostile castrating impulses towards the husband were in process of coming to light, the desire for intercourse very much abated, and she became for periods relatively frigid. The mask of womanliness was being peeled away, and she was revealed either as castrated (lifeless, incapable of pleasure), or as wishing to castrate (therefore afraid to receive the penis or welcome it by gratification). Once, while for a period her husband had had a love-affair with another woman, she had detected a very intense identification with him in regard to the rival woman. It is striking that she had had no homosexual experiences (since before puberty with a younger sister); but it appeared during analysis that this lack was compensated for by frequent homosexual dreams with intense orgasm.

In everyday life one may observe the mask of femininity taking curious forms. One capable housewife of my acquaintance is a woman of great ability, and can herself attend to typically masculine matters. But when, e.g. any builder or upholsterer is called in, she has a compulsion to hide all her technical knowledge from him and show deference to the workman, making her suggestions in an innocent and artless manner, as if they were "lucky guesses." She has confessed to me that even with the butcher and baker, whom she rules in reality with a rod of iron, she cannot openly take up a firm straightforward stand; she feels herself as it were "acting a part," she puts on the semblance of a rather uneducated, foolish and bewildered woman, yet in the end always making her point. In all other relations in life this woman is a gracious, cultured lady, competent and well-informed, and can manage her affairs by sensible rational behavior without any subterfuges. This woman is now aged 50, but she tells me that as a young woman she had great anxiety in dealings with men such as porters, waiters, cabmen, tradesmen, or any other potentially hostile father-figures such as doctors, builders and lawyers; moreover, she often quarreled with such men and had altercations with them, accusing them of defrauding her and so forth.
Another case from everyday observation is that of a clever woman, wife and mother, a university lecturer in an abstruse subject which seldom attracts women. When lecturing, not to students but to colleagues, she chooses particularly feminine clothes. Her behavior on these occasions is also marked by an inappropriate feature: she becomes flippant and joking, so much so that it has caused comment and rebuke. She has to treat the situation of displaying her masculinity to men as a “game,” as something not real, as a “joke.” She cannot treat herself and her subject seriously, cannot seriously contemplate herself as on equal terms with men; moreover, the flippant attitude enables some of her sadism to escape, hence the offence it causes.

Many other instances could be quoted. And I have met with a similar mechanism in the analysis of manifest homosexual men. In one such man with severe inhibition and anxiety homosexual activities really took second place, the source of greatest sexual gratification being actually masturbation under special conditions, namely, while looking at himself in the mirror dressed in a particular way. The excitation was produced by the sight of himself with hair parted in the centre, wearing a bow tie. These extraordinary “fetishes” turned out to represent a disguise of himself as his sister; the hair and bow were taken from her. His conscious attitude was a desire to be a woman, but his manifest relations with men had never been stable. Unconsciously the homosexual relation proved to be entirely sadistic and based on masculine rivalry. Fantasies of sadism and “possession of a penis” could be indulged only while reassurance against anxiety was being obtained from the mirror that he was safely “disguised” as a woman.

To return to the case I first described. Underneath her apparently satisfactory heterosexuality it is clear that this woman displayed well-known manifestations of the castration complex. Homey was the first among others to point out the sources of that complex in the Oedipus situation; my belief is that the fact that womanliness may be assumed as a mask may contribute further in this direction to the analysis of female development. […]

But before this I must give some account of her relations with women. She was conscious of rivalry of almost any woman who had either good looks or intellectual pretensions. She was conscious of flashes of hatred against almost any woman with whom she had much to do, but where permanent or close relations with women were concerned she was none the less able to establish a very satisfactory footing. Unconsciously she did this almost entirely by means of feeling herself superior in some way to them (her relations with her inferiors were uniformly excellent). Her proficiency as a housewife largely had its root in this. By it she surpassed her mother, won her approval and proved her superiority among rival “feminine” women. Her intellectual attainments undoubtedly had in part the same object. They too proved her superiority to her mother; it seemed probable that since she reached womanhood her rivalry with women had been more acute in regard to intellectual things than in regard to beauty, since she could usually take refuge in her superior brains where beauty was concerned. […]

These conclusions compel one once more to face the question: what is the essential nature of fully developed femininity? … The conception of womanliness as a mask, behind which man suspects some hidden danger, throws a little light on the enigma. […]

NOTES:

1. This article was first published in The International Journal of Psychoanalysis (IJPA), vol. 10 (1929).
2. F. Jones, 'The early development of female sexuality, IJPA, vol. 8 (192?).


4. I have found this attitude in several woman analysands and the self-ordained defloration in nearly all of them (five cases). In the light of Freud's Taboo of virginity, this latter symptomatic act is instructive.

5. M. Klein, 'Early stages of the Oedipus conflict', IJ PA, vol. 9 (1928)

6. F. Jones. op. cit. p. 469, regards an intensification of the oral-sadistic stage as the central feature of homosexual development in women.

7. As it was not essential to my argument, I have omitted all reference to the further development of the relation to children.

8. cf. MN. Searl, 'Danger situations or the immature ego, Oxford Congress, 1929